PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Baljinder Singh, S/o Sh.Kartar Singh, VPO Doraha, Tehsil Payal, Distt Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

۷s

Public Information Officer, O/o EO, GMADA, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o EO, GMADA, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case .No. 45 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

Sh.Om Mahajan, Sr. Assistant O/o GMADA for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 03.08.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on the letter dated 24.07.2019 filed for conducting enquiry against Sh.Satish Kumar s/o Ajmer Singh for illegal construction – copy of approval granted – action taken for illegal construction and other information concerning the office of Estate Officer, GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.09.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 16.09.2020. The respondent present pleaded that information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 16.03.2020 with a copy submitted to the Commission. The Commission has received a copy of reply on 23.03.2020.

The appellant was absent nor has communicated any discrepancies. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies if any to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and remove the discrepancies.

Hearing dated 24.11.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today **through video con**ferencing at DAC, Mohali. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has not pointed out any discrepancies.

The appellant is absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor has communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is disposed off and closed.

Chandigarh
Dated 24.11.2020

Sd/(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in





Sh. Varinder Sofat, K No-130/3A, Sector-53, Mohali.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o GMADA.

Mohali.

First Appellate Authority O/o GMADA, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case .No. 51 of 2020

Vs

PRESENT: Sh.Rajinder Sofat as the Appellant

Mr.Karan, Sr.Assistant-Policy Branch O/o-GMADA for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 30.08.2019 has sought information regarding extension fee being charged after implementation of collector rates for residential plots in sector 69 Mohali – procedure for charging extension fee before implementation of collector rates in sector 69, 70 & 71 and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.10.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 16.09.2020. The respondent present pleaded that information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 23.03.2020 with a copy submitted to the Commission. The Commission had received a copy of reply on 27.05.2020.

The appellant was absent nor had communicated any discrepancies. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies if any to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and remove the discrepancies.

Hearing dated 24.11.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today **through video con**ferencing at DAC, Mohali. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has not pointed out any discrepancies.

The appellant is absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor has communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh Dated:24.11.2020 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in





Sh.Kawal Nain Singh, # 62, Model Town, Ambala City.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o GMADA, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o EO, GMADA, Mohali.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3956 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Kawal Nain Singh as the Appellant

Sh.Gurvinder Singh, PIO-GMADA Mohali for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 09.07.2019 has sought information regarding allotment of religious sites to religious bodies – allotment of sites to DeraRadha Swami Satsang Beas in SAS Nagar Mohali alongwith a copy of map of sector 76 to 80 as on 21.02.2000 and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 02.08.2019 whereby the PIO informed the appellant that since the information is voluminous, the appellant to inspect the record on any working day and get the relevant information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.09.2019 which took no decision on theappeal.

The case was first heard on 19.02.2020. The respondent present pleaded that the information is voluminous and the PIO is on leave due to illness and has sought adjournment.

The PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and provide point-wise information to the appellant with a copy to the Commission. The First Appellate Authority was also directed to ensure that the information is provided on all points.

On the date of the next hearing on **30.06.2020**, the respondent present pleaded that since the information is voluminous and no PIO is posted, the appellant be asked to inspect the record and get the relevant information.

Hearing both the parties, the Commission directed the respondent to bring entire case file to the Commission at the next date of hearing for the appellant to inspect therecord.

On the date of last hearing on **07.09.2020**, the respondent had brought the record. The appellant inspected some of the record and had agreed to inspect the remaining record by visiting the office of the PIO on 11.09.2020 at 11.00 AM. The PIO was directed to allow the inspection of the remaining record to the appellant and provide the relevant information as per the RTI Act.

On the date of hearing on 06.10.2020, the appellant informed that the information on points 1,2,3& 8(d) has not been provided by the PIO.

Due to a VC timing glitch, the respondent could not be heard. The Commission received a copy of letter on 23.09.2020 from the PIO-cum-Suptd.(Policy) PUDA stating that the information relating to points-1,2,3 & 8(d) does not pertain to them. However, the PIO had not mentioned in the letter that under whose custody this information lies and has neither bothered to transfer the RTI application to the PIO of the concerned public authority.

A copy of the order was sent to the Chief Administrator, GMADA along with the RTI application for looking at the points mentioned above and to ensure that this RTI application is replied to as per the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 24.11.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. As per respondent, the information has been sent to the appellant again on 23.11.2020 with a copy to the Commission.

The respondent further informed that the appellant was asked vide letter dated 06.08.2019 to deposit requisite fee of Rs.2500/- and Rs.2000/- for information relating to points-1 & 2 but the appellant has not deposited the fee. Regarding point-3, the copy of rojnamcha has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 19.09.2020. The information on other points has also been supplied.

Hearing both the parties and the reply of the PIO, the appellant is directed to deposit the requisite fee relating to points 1 & 2 and get the relevant information. Remaining information stands provided to the best possible extent. The appellant is satisfied.

The case is **disposed off and closed.** If there is any other discrepancies, the same be resolved mutually.

Chandigarh
Dated:24.11.2020

Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State InformationCommissioner

CC to Chief Administrator, GMADA, Mohali

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Vijay Kumar, # 2857, Sector-40-C, Chandigarh.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Principal Secretary, Deptt of Local Govt, Sec-35-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Principal Secretary, Deptt of Local Govt, Sec-35-A, Chandigarh. ...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1996 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Vijay Kumar as the Appellant

Sh.Satwinder Singh, PIO-(LG-3) for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 21.10.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 05.10.2019 filed against Director Shanti Housing Development Corporation Ltd. and concerned officials of MC Kurali for illegally allowing discharge of sewerage into the main sewer provided by the Punjab Govt. alongwith copy of noting portion of file dealing with the complaint from the office of Principal Secretary, Local Govt. Punjab. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 25.11.2029 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 08.06.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The respondent present pleaded that since the matter relating to the complaint is pending with the Govt., the information cannot be provided and the reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 25.11.2019 and 02.07.2020. The respondent further informed that the correspondence made with Dy.Director, Local Govt. Department, Patiala concerning the complaint has also been provided to the appellant.

The appellant however, informed that he had filed the complaint to the Principal Secretary on 05.10.2020 but it has been more than one and half year, no action has been taken on his complaint.

I hereby recommend that the Principal Secretary to look into the matter and resolve the issue at the earliest.

No further course of action is required. The case is disposed off and closed.

Chandigarh Dated: 24.11.2020 Sd/-(KhushwantSingh) State InformationCommissioner